

СЕКЦІЯ XX. ПСИХОЛОГІЯ ТА ПСИХІАТРІЯ

PSYCHOLOGICAL IMPACT OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE ON THE SCIENCE

Klaudia Lewita

Polish Society of Bioinformatics and Data Science BIODATA, Szczecin, Poland

Adrianna Krzemińska

Polish Society of Bioinformatics and Data Science BIODATA, Szczecin, Poland

Polina Kozlovska

Polish Society of Bioinformatics and Data Science BIODATA, Szczecin, Poland

Tymoteusz Miller

PhD in biological sciences, assistant Professor at institute
of Marine and Environmental Sciences

Polish Society of Bioinformatics and Data Science BIODATA, Szczecin, Poland

Summary. *The rapid advancement of Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies has permeated numerous facets of contemporary science, fundamentally transforming research methodologies, data interpretation, and predictive modeling. This paper explores the psychological implications of AI on scientists and researchers in terms of cognitive process, research ethics, decision-making, and emotional dynamics.*

Keywords: *Artificial Intelligence (AI), Cognitive Processes, Decision-Making, Research Ethics, Emotional Dynamics*

1. Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) technology, with its profound and pervasive influence, has been a fundamental driver of contemporary scientific progress. Its applications are far-reaching, permeating every sphere of scientific exploration, from life sciences to physical sciences, from astronomy to climate science. Machine Learning (ML), a subset of AI, is reshaping the way we approach complex scientific problems, allowing for the management of vast datasets, expediting complex calculations, and even enabling predictive modelling and simulation of experiments in silico.

Indeed, the narrative of AI has been one of progress, innovation, and forward momentum, capable of significantly accelerating scientific discovery. Complex problems that once took years to solve can now be handled in a matter of days or even hours, thanks to AI-driven data analysis. Large scale genetic sequencing, climate change modeling, advanced materials development, and subatomic particle research are just a few examples of scientific endeavors now propelled by AI technology.

The emergence of this new technological reality has led to an evolving scientific landscape, one that has seen an increasing shift from traditional, hands-on scientific methodologies towards more technologically mediated approaches. The promise of AI is not

merely limited to efficiency and productivity; it also fosters a paradigm shift from hypothesis-driven research to data-driven discovery, bringing an entirely new perspective to the scientific process [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6].

Nevertheless, much of the discourse surrounding AI's integration into scientific research has primarily focused on the technical implications, often overlooking the psychological effects these new technologies may have on the individuals at the heart of these breakthroughs - the scientists and researchers themselves. As the fusion of AI with scientific research accelerates, it is increasingly important to understand its psychological implications, as they bear significant potential to affect the course of scientific discovery and the overall health and wellbeing of the scientific community [7, 8, 9].

The change brought about by AI is not only methodological but also cognitive and emotional. It alters the researcher's relationship with their work, potentially affecting their cognitive processes, decision-making capabilities, and emotional well-being. While AI may alleviate the burden of heavy computation and data management, it may also affect the sense of intellectual contribution among scientists, their professional identities, and their perceived autonomy in the research process.

Therefore, understanding the psychological impact of AI on science involves recognizing the complex interplay between human and machine, and the subtle effects it may have on scientists' intellectual engagement, ethical considerations, and emotional dynamics. By doing so, we can ensure that the evolution of science in the era of AI remains a human-centered process, cognizant of the cognitive, ethical, and emotional well-being of its most crucial assets – the scientists themselves [10, 11, 12, 13, 14].

2. AI and Cognitive Process

The role of AI, particularly machine learning, in shaping the cognitive processes involved in scientific research is profound and multifaceted. Machine learning algorithms have the ability to digest and analyze massive datasets, perform complex calculations, and derive insights at a speed and scale previously unfathomable. Such capabilities are invaluable in an era where data generation outpaces the human capacity for data interpretation, especially in scientific fields such as genomics, astronomy, and climate science, which routinely handle vast amounts of data [15, 16].

As AI takes on a more prominent role in scientific research, it is transforming the way researchers think and work. Traditional scientific research often follows a deductive reasoning process, where scientists form hypotheses based on known principles and then conduct experiments to test these hypotheses. The advent of AI, however, is shifting this paradigm toward a more inductive reasoning approach. With AI's ability to derive patterns and insights from vast datasets, researchers can now generate hypotheses based on these data-driven insights, flipping the conventional scientific process on its head.

However, the integration of AI into scientific research also prompts significant changes in the researchers' roles. Scientists are transitioning from a predominantly hands-on problem-solving role to one that involves managing, overseeing, and interpreting results generated by AI. In other words, researchers are moving from active problem solvers to more passive observers and interpreters of AI-generated results. This new dynamic can significantly affect the researcher's sense of intellectual contribution [17, 18].

The sense of intellectual accomplishment often derived from hands-on problem solving and the eureka moments of personal discovery could be diminished when a machine is generating the hypotheses and providing the solutions. This could potentially lead to feelings of displacement and a perceived reduction in autonomy. Scientists may begin to question their role in the research process, potentially leading to a loss of personal identity within their work. The impact on motivation, self-esteem, and job satisfaction cannot be

overlooked, as these are essential elements of a productive and rewarding scientific career.

Moreover, the cognitive load in scientific research is shifting from understanding the problem to understanding the AI. Researchers now need to devote significant cognitive resources to understand, interpret, and validate AI's outputs, adding a new layer of complexity to the research process. This necessitates a fresh set of skills and a new way of thinking, requiring scientists to be conversant not just in their specific field of research but also in the inner workings of machine learning models.

As we embrace AI as a powerful tool in scientific research, we must be cognizant of its psychological implications. The challenge lies in leveraging AI's strengths while preserving the intellectual engagement and fulfillment traditionally associated with scientific discovery. This involves not only technical adaptations but also a shift in our cognitive approach to accommodate this new member of the research team. It's about fostering a symbiotic relationship with AI, where the technology enhances, rather than displaces, the cognitive processes essential to science.

3. AI and Decision-Making

The impact of AI on decision-making in science cannot be overstated. Through its ability to handle large datasets, AI provides predictive analytics and offers potential solutions to complex scientific issues. Its capacity to sift through a plethora of variables and identify nuanced patterns and correlations surpasses human capabilities and introduces a level of sophistication and precision to decision-making that was previously unimaginable.

However, these advantages come with a significant caveat. AI, especially complex machine learning models, often operate as a 'black-box,' where the logic and processes underlying their predictions and recommendations are not easily interpretable or understandable. For scientists, who are accustomed to establishing causality and understanding the underlying mechanisms of their findings, this can be disconcerting. It introduces a level of uncertainty and doubt into the decision-making process that could lead to anxiety, particularly when significant scientific findings or policy recommendations hinge on AI's outputs.

Over-reliance on AI for decision-making might also have far-reaching consequences for the development of critical thinking skills in science. Traditionally, scientific decision-making has required a robust understanding of the subject matter, meticulous evaluation of evidence, and the application of logical reasoning. The integration of AI in this process might lead to a situation where machine-generated solutions are accepted without a thorough understanding of their basis. Over time, this could inhibit the growth of critical thinking skills and the nurturing of scientific intuition, both of which are fundamental to innovative scientific exploration [19, 20, 21, 22].

4. AI and Research Ethics

The rapid advancement and integration of AI into scientific research also raise important ethical considerations. Among these are the potential misuse of AI technologies, biases in algorithms due to skewed data, and infringements on data privacy. Each of these ethical dilemmas has the potential to cause psychological stress among scientists, particularly those deeply committed to maintaining integrity and ethical rigor in their research.

For instance, AI models can unintentionally perpetuate or even exacerbate biases present in the datasets on which they are trained. This potential for bias is a major ethical concern, particularly in scientific research areas such as healthcare or social sciences, where biased outcomes can have far-reaching, detrimental consequences. Scientists need to be hyper-aware of these potential biases and the implications they hold, resulting in an added layer of vigilance and responsibility, which can cause significant psychological stress.

Data privacy is another ethical issue tied to the use of AI. With the integration of AI into science, large volumes of data are often required, some of which can be sensitive or personal. Maintaining the privacy of this data while using it for research is a delicate balancing act, adding to the ethical burden on scientists.

Finally, transparency, explainability, and accountability in AI research are increasingly becoming points of concern. Scientists must ensure that their use of AI in research is not only ethically sound but also transparent and explainable to peers, policy-makers, and sometimes even the public. The pressure to keep AI research within these ethical bounds while navigating the 'black box' nature of AI can be a significant source of stress and concern.

In summary, while AI undoubtedly presents exciting new opportunities for scientific research, its integration also brings forth significant challenges. Among these are shifts in cognitive processes, changes in decision-making dynamics, and novel ethical dilemmas, each of which carries psychological implications that need careful consideration. As we move forward in this new era of AI-driven science, it is crucial to address these issues openly and work towards a framework that supports scientists' cognitive, emotional, and ethical well-being [23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28].

5. AI and Emotional Dynamics

The integration of AI into the scientific domain is not merely a technical and cognitive shift but also an emotional one. AI's influence has generated a spectrum of emotions within the scientific community, ranging from enthusiasm and optimism to apprehension and fear.

The excitement surrounding AI is palpable. Many scientists view AI as a revolutionary tool that can unlock new dimensions of research, providing insights that were previously beyond reach. This sense of potential and the possibilities for accelerated discovery generate optimism and motivation among researchers eager to push the boundaries of their fields.

However, alongside this optimism lies a set of more complex and often conflicting emotions. Concerns over job security, especially among those whose roles could be automated by AI, can lead to anxiety and uncertainty. The ethical implications of AI, including the potential biases in algorithms and the handling of personal data, also provoke concern and vigilance.

Furthermore, the very notion of creating intelligent machines leads to existential questions that can stir deep emotions. The boundary between human intelligence and artificial intelligence, once clear and distinct, is becoming increasingly blurred. Questions around what it means to be intelligent, conscious, and even human become more pressing and philosophically unsettling. These profound considerations can lead to feelings of unease and even fear as we grapple with the philosophical implications of our own creations [29, 30, 31, 32].

6. Extended Conclusion

Understanding the psychological impact of AI on science is not a peripheral consideration; it is central to fostering a healthy scientific culture in the AI era. The effects of AI on science are multifaceted, influencing cognitive processes, decision-making, research ethics, and emotional dynamics. Each of these aspects intertwines to form a complex psychological landscape that scientists must navigate.

Open dialogue within the scientific community about these implications is essential. Recognizing and discussing the potential stressors related to AI integration can lead to strategies and support systems that mitigate anxiety, preserve job satisfaction, and enhance the quality of scientific research.

As AI continues to advance, it is crucial to maintain a thoughtful, human-centric approach to its integration into scientific research. This requires a delicate balance, leveraging AI's immense potential while respecting the human elements of curiosity,

ingenuity, and ethical commitment that remain at the heart of scientific discovery.

In the midst of this AI-driven scientific revolution, we must remember that science is a uniquely human endeavor. It is not solely a product of raw computational power, nor should it become so. Our journey into the future of science with AI should be a symbiotic one, where machine intelligence enhances human intelligence, rather than overshadowing it.

By weaving AI into the fabric of science in a way that respects and enhances the human elements, we can usher in a new era of discovery that is not only more powerful and efficient but also more thoughtful, ethical, and emotionally resonant. The challenges are significant, but so are the opportunities. Embracing AI in science with a clear understanding of its psychological impact is not just a path to technological advancement; it's a pathway to a more humane and enlightened scientific future [11, 21, 26].

References:

1. Emmert-Streib, F. (2021). From the digital data revolution toward a digital society: Pervasiveness of artificial intelligence. *Machine Learning and Knowledge Extraction*, 3(1), 284-298.
2. Nguyen, G., Dlugolinsky, S., Bobák, M., Tran, V., López García, Á., Heredia, I., Malík P., Hluchý, L. (2019). Machine learning and deep learning frameworks and libraries for large-scale data mining: a survey. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 52, 77-124.
3. Ford, M. (2021). *Rule of the robots: How artificial intelligence will transform everything*. Hachette UK.
4. Hunter, L. (Ed.). (1993). *Artificial intelligence and molecular biology* (Vol. 445). Menlo Park: Aaai Press.
5. Garrett, K. A., Bebbler, D. P., Etherton, B. A., Gold, K. M., Plex Sulá, A. I., & Selvaraj, M. G. (2022). Climate change effects on pathogen emergence: Artificial intelligence to translate big data for mitigation. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, 60, 357-378.
6. Bianchini, S., Müller, M., & Pelletier, P. (2022). Artificial intelligence in science: An emerging general method of invention. *Research Policy*, 51(10), 104604.
7. Hasiija, A., & Esper, T. L. (2022). In artificial intelligence (AI) we trust: A qualitative investigation of AI technology acceptance. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 43(3), 388-412.
8. Jarrahi, M. H., Askay, D., Eshraghi, A., & Smith, P. (2023). Artificial intelligence and knowledge management: A partnership between human and AI. *Business Horizons*, 66(1), 87-99.
9. Graham, S., Depp, C., Lee, E. E., Nebeker, C., Tu, X., Kim, H. C., & Jeste, D. V. (2019). Artificial intelligence for mental health and mental illnesses: an overview. *Current psychiatry reports*, 21, 1-18.
10. Benbya, H., Pachidi, S., & Jarvenpaa, S. (2021). Special issue editorial: Artificial intelligence in organizations: Implications for information systems research. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, 22(2), 10.
11. McCradden, M., Hui, K., & Buchman, D. Z. (2023). Evidence, ethics and the promise of artificial intelligence in psychiatry. *Journal of Medical Ethics*, 49(8), 573-579.
12. Alkatheiri, M. S. (2022). Artificial intelligence assisted improved human-computer interactions for computer systems. *Computers and Electrical Engineering*, 101, 107950.
13. Mhlanga, D. (2022). Human-centered artificial intelligence: the superlative approach to achieve sustainable development goals in the fourth industrial revolution. *Sustainability*, 14(13), 7804.
14. Ozmen Garibay, O., Winslow, B., Andolina, S., Antona, M., Bodenschatz, A., Coursaris, C., et al. (2023). Six human-centered artificial intelligence grand challenges. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 39(3), 391-437.
15. Damiano, L., & Stano, P. (2023). Explorative Synthetic Biology in AI: Criteria of Relevance and a Taxonomy for Synthetic Models of Living and Cognitive Processes. *Artificial Life*, 1-21.
16. Morris, M. R. (2023). Scientists' Perspectives on the Potential for Generative AI in their Fields. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.01420*.

17. Chubb, J., Cowling, P., & Reed, D. (2022). Speeding up to keep up: exploring the use of AI in the research process. *AI & society*, 37(4), 1439-1457.
18. Saxena, P., Saxena, V., Pandey, A., Flato, U., & Shukla, K. (2023). *Multiple Aspects of Artificial Intelligence*. Book Saga Publications.
19. Ali, S., Abuhmed, T., El-Sappagh, S., Muhammad, K., Alonso-Moral, J. M., Confalonieri, R., Guidotti R., Del Ser J., Diaz-Rodriguez N., Herrera, F. (2023). Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI): What we know and what is left to attain Trustworthy Artificial Intelligence. *Information Fusion*, 99, 101805.
20. Yang, G., Ye, Q., & Xia, J. (2022). Unbox the black-box for the medical explainable AI via multi-modal and multi-centre data fusion: A mini-review, two showcases and beyond. *Information Fusion*, 77, 29-52.
21. Kamila, M. K., & Jasrotia, S. S. (2023). Ethical issues in the development of artificial intelligence: recognizing the risks. *International Journal of Ethics and Systems*.
22. Bjerring, J. C., & Busch, J. (2021). Artificial intelligence and patient-centered decision-making. *Philosophy & Technology*, 34, 349-371.
23. Dwivedi, Y. K., Kshetri, N., Hughes, L., Slade, E. L., Jeyaraj, A., Kar, A. K., et. al (2023). "So what if ChatGPT wrote it?" Multidisciplinary perspectives on opportunities, challenges and implications of generative conversational AI for research, practice and policy. *International Journal of Information Management*, 71, 102642.
24. Ferrara, E. (2023). Should chatgpt be biased? challenges and risks of bias in large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.03738*.
25. Ferrara, E. (2023). Fairness And Bias in Artificial Intelligence: A Brief Survey of Sources, Impacts, And Mitigation Strategies. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.07683*.
26. Coeckelbergh, M. (2020). *AI ethics*. Mit Press.
27. Bartoletti, I. (2019). AI in healthcare: Ethical and privacy challenges. In *Artificial Intelligence in Medicine: 17th Conference on Artificial Intelligence in Medicine, AIME 2019, Poznan, Poland, June 26–29, 2019, Proceedings 17* (pp. 7-10). Springer International Publishing.
28. Vollmer, S., Mateen, B. A., Bohner, G., Király, F. J., Ghani, R., Jonsson, P., ... & Hemingway, H. (2020). Machine learning and artificial intelligence research for patient benefit: 20 critical questions on transparency, replicability, ethics, and effectiveness. *bmj*, 368.
29. Hornung, O., & Smolnik, S. (2022). AI invading the workplace: negative emotions towards the organizational use of personal virtual assistants. *Electronic Markets*, 1-16.
30. Upadhyay, A. *Artificial Intelligence and Ethics*. <https://doi.org/10.31995/Book.AB274-M23.Chapter8>.
31. Gamez, D. (2023). AI: Artificial Intelligence as philosophy: Machine consciousness and intelligence.
32. Powers, T. M., & Ganascia, J. G. (2020). The Ethics of the Ethics of AI. *The Oxford handbook of ethics of AI*, 25-51.